

### **REMARKS**


Claims 1-2, 4-29, 31-41, 43-76, 78-80, and 82-99 are currently pending in this application. Claims 3, 30, and 42 were canceled in a Response filed July 28, 2005. A non-final Office Action was mailed on April 7, 2006 rejected the pending claims.

Claims 1-2, 4-6, 12-15, 17, 21, 22, 24, 25, 29, 31, 32, 34, 36-38, 43, 44, 46, 48-51, 53-56, 59, 61, 62, 65, 67, 68, 70, 71, 73-76, 78, 83, 84, 86, 87, 89, 94, 95, and 97 are amended in the present response. No new matter has been added by any of these amendments. Also, for at least the reasons discussed below, the pending claims are now in condition for allowance.

### **Rejection of Claims Under 35 U.S.C. § 103**

The Office Action rejected claims 1-14, 16-21, 23-25, 29-30, 36, 39-40, 42, 48-50, 53-57, 61-63, 65-70, 73-76, 78-80, 84-89, and 94-97 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,420,866 to Wasilewski ("Wasilewski") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,931,532 to Davis et al. ("Davis"). Also, Claims 15, 26-38, 31035, 37-38, 43-47, 52, 64, 71-72, 82, 83, 90-93, and 99, were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wasilewski in view of Davis, and in further view of U.S. Patent No. 5,991,399 to Graunke et al ("Graunke"). Additionally, Claims 22, 41, 51, 58, and 59 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wasilewski in view of Davis, in further view of Graunke, and in still further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,449,651 to Dorfman et al ("Dorfman"). Furthermore, Claim 98 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wasilewski in view of Davis, and in further view of U.S. Patent No. 5,678,002 to Fawcett et al ("Fawcett").

As amended, Claim 1 now teaches an apparatus for selectively encrypting data for transmission over a network in packets between a server and a client. The apparatus further includes: (1) a parser configured to parse a payload portion of the data in a packet from a non-payload portion of the packet data; (2) an encrypter configured to determine if the payload portion of the packet data is to be encrypted by examining the payload portion of the packet data to

{S:\08223\000S102-US0\80064057.DOC  }



Also, independent Claims 17, 36, 53, 61, 67, 73, 78, 86, and 97 have been amended in similar, albeit different ways than amended Claim 1. Thus, for at least substantially the same reasons discussed above, amended independent Claims 1, 17, 36, 53, 61, 67, 73, 78, 86, and 97 are non-obvious and allowable over Wasilewski in view of Davis.

Additionally, all of the dependent claims have been amended where applicable to conform with amendments to their respective independent claims. Consequently, all of the pending dependent claims are now patentable for at least the same reasons as the respective amended independent claims from which they depend. Accordingly, dependent Claims 2, 4-14, 15, 16, 18-29, 31-35, 37 41, 43-52, 54-60, 62-66, 68-72, 74-77, 79-85, 87-96, 98, and 99 are now in condition for allowance.

